## Cost-based logical optimization for DAG plans of reformulated queries

Damian Bursztyn<sup>1</sup>, François Goasdoué<sup>2</sup>, Ioana Manolescu<sup>1</sup>, Alessandro Solimando<sup>1</sup>, and Michaël Thomazo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INRIA & U. Paris-Sud, France <sup>2</sup> U. Rennes 1 & INRIA, France

Query answering in presence of *semantic constraints* must cope with both *explicit* and *implicit* data. Two families of query answering techniques have been proposed in literature, namely *Saturation*-based, which adds all the implicit knowledge to the explicit graph, that is then queried in a classical way, and *Reformulation*-based, which does not alter the set of explicit data, but reformulates the original query under the given contraints in such a way that ensure completeness also w.r.t. implicit knowledge.

Different reformulation algorithms have been proposed in literature. Union of conjunctive queries (UCQ) reformulation [6, 10, 8, 11, 4, 1, 5, 3, 7] applies to various fragments of RDF, ranging from the Description Logics (DL) one up to the Database (DB) one. Another notable reformulation technique computes semi-conjunctive queries (SCQ) reformulations [9] and can be applied to the DL fragment of RDF. Join of unions of conjunctive queries (JUCQ) reformulation, a generalization of both UCQ and SCQ, has been proposed for the DB fragment of RDF [2], and has shown to improve the execution performance of reformulated queries over both UCQs and SCQs.

To improve the performance of reformulated query evaluation performance even further, in the context of query answering for RDF, we investigate SPJUM, which allows plans composed by semi-join, projection, join, union and materialization operators, and thus strictly subsumes JUCQ. Join and union operators can appear in the computed plans at any level, differently from all the previous proposals in literature. Semi-join operators are explored for improving performance, materialization allows to save extra work in case of worth reuse opportunities for intermediate results.

In this context, we propose *cost-based* algorithms, which compute a plans for executing the query having a contained cost.

## References

- P. Adjiman, F. Goasdoué, and M.-C. Rousset. SomeRDFS in the semantic web. JODS, 8, 2007.
- D. Bursztyn, F. Goasdoué, and I. Manolescu. Optimizing reformulation-based query answering in RDF. In *International Conference on Extending Database Technology (EDBT)*, pages 265–276, 2015.
- D. Calvanese, G. D. Giacomo, D. Lembo, M. Lenzerini, and R. Rosati. Tractable reasoning and efficient query answering in description logics: The DL-Lite family. JAR, 39(3), 2007.
- G. D. Giacomo, D. Lembo, M. Lenzerini, A. Poggi, R. Rosati, M. Ruzzi, and D. F. Savo. MASTRO: A reasoner for effective ontology-based data access. In ORE, 2012.
- F. Goasdoué, K. Karanasos, J. Leblay, and I. Manolescu. View selection in semantic web databases. *PVLDB*, 2011.
- F. Goasdoué, I. Manolescu, and A. Roatiş. Efficient query answering against dynamic RDF databases. In *EDBT*, 2013.
- G. Gottlob, G. Orsi, and A. Pieris. Ontological queries: Rewriting and optimization. In *ICDE*, 2011. Keynote.
- 8. Z. Kaoudi, I. Miliaraki, and M. Koubarakis. RDFS reasoning and query answering on DHTs. In *ISWC*, 2008.
- 9. M. Thomazo. Compact rewriting for existential rules. IJCAI, 2013.
- J. Urbani, A. Margara, C. J. H. Jacobs, F. van Harmelen, and H. E. Bal. Dynamite: Parallel materialization of dynamic RDF data. In *ISWC*, 2013.
- 11. J. Urbani, F. van Harmelen, S. Schlobach, and H. Bal. QueryPIE: Backward reasoning for OWL Horst over very large knowledge bases. In *ISWC*, 2011.